

GLEN EIRA CITY COUNCIL ORDINARY COUNCIL MEETING

TUESDAY 21 MAY 2024

MINUTES

Meeting was held in the Council Chambers, Corner Hawthorn & Glen Eira Roads, Caulfield at 7.30pm

Present

The Mayor, Councillor Anne-Marie Cade Councillor Tony Athanasopoulos Councillor Margaret Esakoff Councillor Jane Karslake Councillor Jim Magee Councillor Sam Parasol Councillor Sue Pennicuik Councillor Li Zhang Councillor Simone Zmood

INDEX

1.	ACK	NOWLEDGEMENT	4
2.	АРО	LOGIES	4
3.		INDER TO DECLARE ANY CONFLICTS OF INTEREST IN ANY ITEMS ON AGENDA	
4.	CON	FIRMATION OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS COUNCIL MEETINGS	. 11
5.	REC	EPTION AND READING OF PETITIONS AND JOINT LETTERS	. 11
	Nil		
6.	REP ORG	ORTS BY DELEGATES APPOINTED BY COUNCIL TO VARIOUS ANISATIONS	. 11
	Nil		
7.	REP	ORTS FROM COMMITTEES AND RECORDS OF ASSEMBLY	. 12
	7.1	Advisory Committees	. 12
	7.1.1	Advisory Committee Minutes	. 12
	7.2	Records of Assembly	. 12
	7.2.1	Records of Assemblies	. 12
8.	OFFI	CER REPORTS (AS LISTED)	. 12
	8.1	Caulfield East Cycling Corridor - Endorsement of Council Preferred	40
	8.2	Alignment	
	8.3	Glen Eira Heritage Review of Caulfield Major Activity Centre	. 16
	8.4	Caulfield South and Gardenvale Heritage Review 2024 - Amendment C260	. 16
	8.5	Caulfield Major Activity Centre Structure Plan - Proposed Planning Scheme Amendment C245	
	8.6	Victorian Amateur Turf Club - Removal of Covenant	. 18
	8.7	Glen Eira Innovate Reconciliation Action Plan 2024-2026 - Draft Plan for Community Engagement	
	8.8	Future Waste, Greener Glen Eira - Community Engagement Summary Report	
	8.9	Community Consultation: Dog Off-Leash Order	
		Proposed declaration of Carnegie special rate scheme - Submissions	

	8.11 Proposed Declaration of Elsternwick Special Rate Scheme - Submissions 8.12 Quarterly Service Performance Report (Jan - Mar 2024)	
	8.13 Arts and Culture and Sustainability Advisory Committees - Extension of	
	Appointment of Community Representatives	
	8.14 2023.85 Provision of Telecommunication Services Variation	21
9.	URGENT BUSINESS	22
10.	ORDINARY BUSINESS	22
	10.1 Requests for reports from a member of Council staff	22
	10.2 Right of reply	22
	10.3 Notice of Motion	
	10.4 Councillor questions	22
	10.5 Written public questions to Council	
11.	CONFIDENTIAL ITEMS	23
12.	CLOSURE OF MEETING	24



1. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The Mayor read the acknowledgement.

Glen Eira City Council Acknowledges the peoples of the Kulin Nation as Traditional Owners and Custodians, and pay our respect to their Elders past, present and emerging. We Acknowledge and uphold their continuing relationship to land and waterways. Council extends its respect to all Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples.

2. APOLOGIES

NIL

3. REMINDER TO DECLARE ANY CONFLICTS OF INTEREST IN ANY ITEMS ON THE AGENDA

Councillors were reminded of the requirement for disclosure of conflicts of interest in relation to items listed for consideration on the Agenda, or any item that was considered at this meeting, in accordance with Section 130(2) of the *Local Government Act 2020* and Rule 60(3) of the Glen Eira City Council Governance Rules. Councillors were then invited to indicate any such conflict of interest.

- Cr Karslake- Item Number 8.1 CAULFIELD EAST CYCLING CORRIDOR -ENDORSEMENT OF COUNCIL PREFERRED ALIGNMENT
- Cr Parasol Item Number 8.1 CAULFIELD EAST CYCLING CORRIDOR -ENDORSEMENT OF COUNCIL PREFERRED ALIGNMENT

Procedural Motion

Moved: Cr Cade Seconded: Cr Zmood

That Council:

- 1. where meetings are held in-person, can continue the meeting if the livestream of the meeting fails as stated in the governance rules;
- 2. adjourns for 10 minutes, if a technical problem is encountered during the meeting, which prevents Council from maintaining a quorum or livestreaming; and
- 3. if the meeting cannot be resumed within 30 minutes, the meeting will be adjourned, to be reconvened on Wednesday 22 May 2024 at 7.30pm.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Procedural Motion

Moved: Cr Cade Seconded: Cr Zmood

That Council brings item 10.5 written public question on tonight's agenda forward to be heard at this stage of the meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

10.5 Written Public Questions

This evening we had 20 Written Public Questions of Council of which 20 were able to be answered within the allocated timeframe. The responses provided during the meeting are provided below:

Ian Sparrow Caulfield East

Question 1:

Strategic cycling path Caulfield East – Submission by Derby Residents Group Regarding the detailed submission provided earlier this month to Councillors by the Derby Residents Group, can Councillors confirm, by raising their hands, that they have read the document?

Answer:

Yes, Councillors and Council Officers have read the document.

Mathew Ferstl Murrumbeena

Question 2:

I've personally almost been struck by vehicle traffic at formal and informal pedestrian crossing in this council area a concerning amount of times. Leading interval signals, raised intersections, pedestrian refuge islands and continuous footpaths particularly in slip lanes that prioritise vehicle speeds over pedestrian safety, are all solutions outlined in the Glen Eira Street Design Guidelines 2023 Summary Document. Are there any plans to seriously roll out these solutions for pedestrian and cyclist safety in the near term?

Answer:

The Street Design Guidelines 2023 is a document that supports the delivery of high-quality streetscape improvements in our municipality. It is not a project delivery plan, but a guiding document for Council designers and consultants to ensure a best-practice approach to street design.

Currently transport planning and traffic engineering investigations and responses are guided by:

- Priorities identified in the Glen Eira Integrated Transport
 Strategy 2018-2031, Walking and Accessibility Action Plan
 and the Cycling Action Plan.
- Key locations identified and investigated when safety

concerns arise.

Council is currently reviewing the *Integrated Transport Strategy* to ensure actions are relevant to the community and support safe active travel. Recent activity centre structure plans have also included public realm and transport studies that identify future improvements to support pedestrian and cycling in our community. These strategies support future project budget bids and advocacy requests to VicRoads and Department of Transport and Planning.

There are no current plans for major cycling and pedestrian upgrades in Murrumbeena, however your submission has been referred to the relevant teams for review.

Timothy Wilson Brighton

Question 3:

Our community lost \$100 million of local funding in the 2022 Federal Budget, should that funding be secured from the Federal government for the same projects would the Council accept the funding?

Answer:

Council welcomes investment in local infrastructure that has a community benefit and responds to community need. Council is currently preparing its advocacy priorities for the next Federal and State elections. Those priorities will be based on needs identified through previous community consultation, Council strategies and our long-term capital works program. The advocacy priorities will be endorsed at a future Ordinary Council meeting.

An election promise of funding for any project or initiative that is not an endorsed Council priority would be assessed on its merits by the Council at the time. This would include consideration of any conditions of the funding, including but not limited to any financial contribution required by Council.

Richard Nash Caulfield East

Question 4:

I would like to thank the Councillors who found time in their schedules to personally visit Derby Crescent and talk to residents about the identified issues relating to the placement of the bikeway connecting Glenhuntly and Caulfield stations. My question, where you happy with the way in which our information and perspectives have been communicated to you?

Answer:

Council appreciates the efforts of both the Derby & Queens Avenue resident's groups. Both groups have clearly advocated their position with other community members and Council.

Kerri White Bentleigh

Question 5:

We lost \$100m of local funding when Zoe Daniel voted for Federal Labor's 2022 Budget, given it has now been revealed that our Federal MP also voted for \$2 billion in new coal rebates, has Council written to these companies seeking \$100 million of funding?

	Answer:	
	No.	
Nicky Willis	Question 6:	
Glen Eira	I have read the 2 officer's reports (for Feb / May meetings). Neither report speaks to the significant safety issues that would flow as a direct result of (now) option 6. Such risks will include cars reversing in/out of connector flow traffic, cars stopping to drop elderly/mobility limited people, deliveries, emergency services vehicles, Ubers dropping young adults home in the early hours in side streets. What is council's analysis and management plan for these varied significant risks?	
	Answer	
	As noted in the Officer's Report, alongside the outcome of the community engagement process, the strategic aspects of the cycling connection, the engineering and technical viability, risks associated, and the amenity impact of the option were all considered to inform the final recommendation.	
	It is expected that all the issues raised in your question will be considered by the LXRP as part of the safety assessment that would occur as part of the detailed design process.	
Nicky Willis	Question 7	
Glen Eira	Last year council voted to support the relocation of the licensed Glasshouse facility to the corner of Queens Ave and Normanby Rds. The driveway on Queens Ave will be open between 7am - 10pm. What analysis have council undertaken regarding the safety risks associated with a cycling path directly crossing the driveway of this licensed venue?	
	Answer	
	This is an LXRP project, which is yet to progress to the detailed design stage. Council engineers have undertaken initial viability assessments based on the concepts that were published as part of the recent engagement process.	
	The final detailed design to be undertaken by the LXRP will need to comply with current road safety standards and will consider this access.	
Marlene	Question 8	
Bronstein	In February this year, it was deemed by the council itself, that	
Caulfield East	removing parking from Queens Ave was an unacceptable and impractical option. How has this now become the preferred option and how as residents are we meant to cope with such a restriction of basic access to our homes?	
	Answer	
	Council's February resolution did not support either option, and requested the LXRP review all alternatives.	
	Tonight's report and recommendation was written by Council officers following analysis of the not only the most recent	

community feedback on all LXRP options, but also the strategic aspects of the cycling connection, engineering and technical viability, risks associated, and the amenity impact of the option. The next stage would be to progress to detailed design. The proposed resolution asks the LXRP to work with Council officers to minimise parking loss as part of that process. It will be through the detailed design process that access and egress from properties would be assessed to minimise any impacts. Silvana Shipperd **Question 9 Caulfield East** Has Council commissioned a traffic engineering report prior to endorsing option 6 confirming that it does not increase safety risks for residents reversing out of or entering their driveways. **Answer** This will be part of the detailed design process completed by the LXRP, and Council has requested that they ensure Council traffic engineers are involved in the assessment process. Silvana Shipperd **Question 10** Caulfield East Does council value free student and free commuter parking ahead of rate paying residents as Derby residents lobbied these commuters to vote for option 6? Answer In making its decision Council is required to balance a number of competing interests and the officer report draws information from a range of sources. The report has considered the strategic alignment, technical viability and amenity in making the recommendation to support option 6. **Robert Horwood Question 11 Caulfield East** Throughout the process of personally discussing the Caulfield East cycling corridor it was made clear by councillors, executives and members of parliament that the rights of rate paying residents would be considered with more weight than commuters or visitors to the area. If this is the case, it is unfair as there are more people living residents on Derby Crescent than on Queens Avenue. Further, commuters were provided letters on their windshields and personally approached by Derby Crescent residents. I suggest that the fear of losing free parking was harnessed to influence these commuters to vote for a Queens Avenue option. How has the promise that residents would be considered with more weight than commuters or visitors to the area been factored into the analysis of the latest survey data? Answer In the community engagement report (attached to the Council report) it does provide a breakdown of general community members as well as street-by-street preference. With all of this

	taken into account the survey results were quite mixed between streets and the general community, with option 6 still being the	
Greg Shipperd	overall preference. Question 12	
Caulfield East	Why can one street have parking available on both sides of the street yet another have none?	
	Answer	
	Roads and streets have many different characteristic and design considerations that enable on-street parking to be accommodated. The main considerations are generally road width and type of traffic that will be using the road.	
Greg Shipperd	Question 13	
Caulfield East	May I ask which of the councillors has no parking access in front of their homes and how that has affected them?	
	Answer	
	As far as I'm aware Mr Shipperd, there is no Councillor that has no parking access in front of their home.	
Robert Horwood	Question 14	
Caulfield East	Given the very high stakes in the outcome of the Caulfield East cycling corridor in terms of tree loss, resident amenity loss and increased safety risks, why did council not meet with Queens Avenue residents?	
	Answer	
	Council officers met with representatives from the Queens Avenue residents' group in Jan/Feb 2024.	
	It is also understood that Queens Avenue residents were in attendance at both the recent online engagement forums.	
Jacob Gotch	Question 15	
Caulfield East	Has council engaged a traffic engineer to confirm that option 6 will not create an increased risk of accident for the residents exiting their properties on Queens Avenue as I have received independent advice from a Traffic Engineer that there is a significant increase of accident with the removal of this parking and that option 2 is a safer option.	
	Answer	
	This is an LXRP project, which is yet to progress to the detailed design stage. Council engineers have undertaken initial viability assessments based on the concepts that were published as part of the recent engagement process.	
	The final detailed design to be undertaken by the LXRP will need to comply with current road safety standards and will consider this access.	

Chris Nicolay	Question 16		
Caulfield East	Can council explain how removing all parking for residents in queens avenue is a better outcome than no loss of parking for residents on derby crs. When derby needs to be rehabilitated anyway?		
	Answer		
	Council officers have assessed all the LXRP options, taking in consideration the best strategic alignment, technical viability, residential amenity and community engagement preference.		
	It is noted that all options do require a level of compromise, however in reviewing the above criteria, Option 6 is believed the most viable.		
	However, you will note that the recommendation in the officer report will seek to minimise parking loss by working with the LXRP through the detailed design.		
Chris Nicolay	Question 17		
Caulfield East	I cannot see any mention of safety of queens Avenue residents being a consideration when pushing the road up to our fences. The street has future trees all down it that already block the view for those trying to get out of their driveways. What consideration has safety been given?		
	Answer		
	The final detailed design undertaken by the LXRP will need to comply with current road safety standards, and therefore will need to consider this access.		
Fiona Rooke	Question 18		
Caulfield East	Has there been any safety assessments done for Queens Ave on the consequences of removal of parking spaces? The lanes will effectively be narrower with hard shoulders and regular bus and truck traffic.		
	Answer		
	Council officers have assessed all the LXRP option, taking into consideration the best strategic alignment, technical viability, residential amenity and community engagement preference.		
	It is noted that all options require a level of compromise, however in reviewing the above criteria, Option 6 is believed to be the most viable.		
	However, you will note that the recommendation in the officer report will seek to minimise parking loss by requesting officers to work with the LXRP through the detailed design.		
Fiona Rooke	Question 19		
Caulfield East	Why is it necessary to not change the 4.8m reserve (as per your doc 18 April) when there is at least 1-1.5 meters not taken up by trees that would offset the need to remove parking?		

	Answer
	This option was not provided by the LXRP, and therefore was not available for Council's consideration.
Glen Boyes	Question 20
Caulfield East	Can you give examples of other residential streets in Glen Eira where parking has been removed permanently on both sides of the street?
	Answer
	Council must balance a range of factors, including parking, when implementing changes to a residential street.
	With regard to the proposal that Council will consider this evening for Queens Avenue, this will be Council's first Copenhagen type cycling treatment and therefore currently there is no comparable street that has had parking removed on both sides.
	Nevertheless, if tonight's recommendation is endorsed, Council will ask the LXRP to work with Council officers to ensure that best endeavours are made to minimise parking loss.

Public Participation

This evening we had 7 submitters request to speak to Council during Public Participation. Six speakers presented to Council.

4. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS COUNCIL MEETINGS

Moved: Cr Magee	Seconded: Cr Athanasopoulos
That the minutes of the Ordinary Council Meeting held or	n 1 May 2024 be confirmed.
	CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

5. RECEPTION AND READING OF PETITIONS AND JOINT LETTERS

Nil

6. REPORTS BY DELEGATES APPOINTED BY COUNCIL TO VARIOUS ORGANISATIONS

Nil

7. REPORTS FROM COMMITTEES AND RECORDS OF ASSEMBLY

7.1 Advisory Committees

7.1.1	AD	VISORY COMMITTEE MINUTES	
	Mov	ved: Cr Pennicuik	Seconded: Cr Zmood
		at the minutes of the Advisory Committee me noted.	eting as shown below be received
	1.	Sustainability Advisory Committee – 4 Apr	ril 2024
			CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

7.2 Records of Assembly

7.2.1	RECORDS OF ASSEMBLIES					
	Moved: Cr Magee	Seconded: Cr Zmood				
	 That Council notes the Records of Assemblies for: 9 April 2024 Pre-meeting 16 April 2024 Assembly; 24 April 2024 Assembly; and 1 May 2024 Pre-meeting. 					
		CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY				

8. OFFICER REPORTS (AS LISTED)

It is recorded that Cr Jane Karslake declared an indirect conflict of interest in item 8.1 CAULFIELD EAST CYCLING CORRIDOR - ENDORSEMENT OF COUNCIL PREFERRED ALIGNMENT. It is recorded that Cr Karslake vacated the Chamber at 8:13pm.

It is further recorded Cr Karslake was not present for the discussion on this item.

It is recorded that Cr Sam Parasol declared an indirect conflict of interest in item 8.1 CAULFIELD EAST CYCLING CORRIDOR - ENDORSEMENT OF COUNCIL PREFERRED ALIGNMENT. It is recorded that Cr Parasol vacated the Chamber at 8:13pm.

It is further recorded Cr Parasol was not present for the discussion on this item.

8.1 CAULFIELD EAST CYCLING CORRIDOR - ENDORSEMENT OF COUNCIL PREFERRED ALIGNMENT Moved: Cr Esakoff Seconded: Cr Athanasopoulos That Council: reaffirms its commitment to working collaboratively with the LXRP to find a solution to delivering a cycling connection between Glen Huntly Station and Caulfield Station: notes the advice of the Minister for Transport Infrastructure dated 3 May 2024 that in the absence of a "clear statement from Council", the Victorian Government "may direct LXRP to pursue an alignment of its choosing"; provides in-principle support to option six to progress to detailed design. The detailed design process should test whether a cycling connection between Glen Huntly Station and Caulfield station can be achieved in a way that : avoids loss of car parking; and a) b) allows safe access and egress from residences. 4. requests that as part of the detailed design process, the LXRP undertakes a transparent feasibility assessment into the following suggestions: moving the kerb on the western side of Queens Avenue in order to provide additional width; and/or considering an alignment that uses both the roadway (southbound) and the verge (northbound) or other bi-directional solutions; and/or traffic calming infrastructure and revised speed limits; and/or d) providing crossovers for properties on Queens Avenue where none currently exist. The results of this feasibility should be made publicly available. writes to the Minister for Transport Infrastructure to advise of Council's inprinciple support and requests that the LXRP continues to work with Council officers throughout the detailed design process. requests a firm commitment and clear timeframe from the Minister for Transport Infrastructure on the revegetation and other promised improvements to amenity on Derby Crescent post the recent level crossing removal work; and requests that officers report back to a future Council meeting on the outcome of the LXRP's detailed design process for a decision on whether or not Council should support the outcome for construction For the Motion: Crs Athanasopoulos, Esakoff, Cade, Zhang, Zmood and Pennicuik (6) Against the Motion: Cr Magee (1) **CARRIED** Crs Parasol and Karslake were absent at the time of the vote.

Extension of Speaking Time

Moved: Cr Athanasopoulos Seconded: Cr Zmood

That Cr Esakoff be granted a one minute extension of speaking time.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Extension of Speaking Time

Moved: Cr Athanasopoulos Seconded: Cr Zmood

That Cr Pennicuik be granted a two minute extension of speaking time.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Extension of Speaking Time

Moved: Cr Magee Seconded: Cr Athanasopoulos

That Cr Zmood be granted a three minute extension of speaking time.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Extension of Speaking Time

Moved: Cr Athanasopoulos Seconded: Cr Magee

That Cr Zmood be granted a one minute extension of speaking time.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Extension of Speaking Time

Moved: Cr Zmood Seconded: Cr Esakoff

That Cr Athanasopoulos be granted a three minute extension of speaking time.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

It is recorded that Cr Karslake entered the Chamber at 8:52pm.

It is recorded that Cr Parasol entered the Chamber at 8:52pm.

Mov	ed: Cr E	Esako	off	Seconded: Cr M
1.	plannir P246/2	ng per 2024 i	I resolves to support the amendermit conditions that are the subje n relation to Planning Permit GE n Street, Elsternwick, as follows	ct of the VCAT Application N/DP-34187/2021/A for the la
			mended plans identified as drawi to TP099 are supported.	ng numbers TP000, TP004
			ion 1 (Amended plans) is amend onal sub-conditions:	led to include the following
	(nternal layout changes to Basen accordance with the plans identif FP099, Revision 12 dated 2516. Katsalidis.	ied as TP096, TP097, TP09
	(zz) / k	An expansion of Basement 1 to to coot' car spaces and a 'direct to laccordance with the plan identification of the plan identific	boot' operations room gene ed as TP099, Revision 12 d
			tion 34 (Car Parking and Bicycle ude the following additional sub-	
	(The number and location of 'directivity with the supermarket.	ct to boot' car spaces assoc
	(d) A	All ren	naining conditions remain uncha	nged.
2.	Plannii positio	ng Pe n, so	I resolves to authorise officers to rmit and plans that do not chang as to enable a settlement to be r scheduled for 29 May 2024.	e the intent of the resolved
For	the Moti	on:	Crs Athanasopoulos, Esakoff, and Karslake (7)	Magee, Cade, Parasol, Zha
Aga	inst the I	Motior	n: Crs Zmood and Pennicuik (2)	

It is recorded that Cr Athanasopoulos vacated the Chamber at 9:00pm.

8.3	GLEN EIRA HERITAGE REVIEW OF CAULFIELD MAJOR ACTIVITY CENTRE		
	Moved: Cr Karslake	Seconded: Cr Zmood	
	That Council adopts the Glen Eira Heritage Review of Centre Final Report 2022 (Attachment 1).	of Caulfield Major Activity	
		CARRIED	
	Cr Athanasopoulos was absent for the vote.		

8.4	CAULFIELD SOUTH AND GARDENVALE HERITAGE REVIEW 2024 - AMENDMENT C260					
	Мо	ved: Cr Esakoff	Seconded: Cr Parasol			
	Tha	at Council:				
	1.	adopts the City of Glen Eira – Caulfield Review Volumes 1 and 2 dated March	•			
	2.	seeks authorisation from the Minister f Planning Scheme Amendment C260gl recommendations of the above review	len to implement all of the			
	3.	authorises the Manager City Futures to amendment, including changes reques Department of Transport and Planning changes do not affect the purpose or in	sted by the Minister for Planning or the growing to receive authorisation, where			
	4.	subject to receiving authorisation from Amendment C260 on public exhibition				
			CARRIED			
	Cr /	Athanasopoulos was absent for the vote.				

It is recorded that Cr Athanasopoulos entered the Chamber at 9:09pm.

8.5	CAULFIELD MAJOR ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN - PROPOSED PLANNING SCHEME AMENDMENT C245			
	Mov	ved: Cr Karslake	Seconded: Cr Zmood	
	That Council:			
	1.	Endorses the proposed rezoning of land we Centre as part of Amendment C245 to the rezonings of land to the Public Use Zone Zone 1 and the Public Park and Recreation (as shown in Attachment 1 to this report).	e Activity Centre Zone and minor – Schedule 2 (Education), Transport on Zone to align with future land use	
	2.	Endorses the application and/or alteration in Attachment 2 to this report) to land with Centre: a) Heritage Overlay b) Environmental Audit Overlay c) Parking Overlay Schedule 11 d) Parking Overlay Schedule 12		
	3.	Endorses the proposed planning controls Amendment C245 being: a) Schedule 1 to Clause 37.08 Activity b) Schedule to Clause 43.01 Heritage c) Schedule 11 to Clause 43.02 Parkin d) Schedule 12 to Clause 43.02 Parkin e) Heritage Design Guidelines for HO7 8) f) Heritage Design Guidelines for HO2 (former) (Attachment 9) g) Statement of Significance for HO71 10) h) Statement of Significance for HO27 (former) (Attachment 11) i) Statement of Significance for HO27 (former Diploma Building) (Attachment j) Schedule to Clause 52.02 Easemen (Attachment 13) k) Schedule to Clause 72.04 Incorpora l) Schedule to Clause 72.08 Backgrou m) Updated Clause 02.04 Strategic Fra n) Updated Planning policy framework	Centre Zone (Attachment 4) Overlay (Attachment 5) ng Overlay (Attachment 6) ng Overlay (Attachment 7) 71 Derby Road Precinct (Attachment 276 Caulfield Railway Substation Derby Road Precinct (Attachment 6 Caulfield Railway Substation 7 Monash University Building F ent 12) nts. Restrictions and Reserves ated Documents (Attachment 14) and Documents (Attachment 15) amework Plans (Attachment 16)	
	4.	Endorses the inclusion of the following do in the Schedule to Clause 72.04: a) Heritage Design Guidelines for HO7 b) Heritage Design Guidelines for HO2 c) Statement of Significance for HO27 d) Statement of Significance for HO27 e) Statement of Significance for HO27	71 276	
	5.	Endorses the inclusion of the following do in the Schedule to Clause 72.08: a) Caulfield Major Activity Centre Struct (Attachment 18)	-	

- b) Car Parking Strategy Caulfield Major Activity Centre Structure Plan 2023 (Attachment 19)
- 6. Seeks authorisation from the Minister for Planning to prepare an amendment to the Glen Eira Planning Scheme in accordance with Section 8A of the *Planning and Environment Act 1987* to implement the adopted Caulfield Major Activity Centre Structure Plan 2022 (Amendment C245).
- 7. Authorises the Manager City Futures to undertake minor changes to the amendment including changes requested by the Minister for Planning or the Department of Transport and Planning to receive authorisation, where changes do not affect the purpose or intent of the Amendment.
- 8. Authorises the Manager City Futures to undertake negotiations with key development site landowners to enter into Section 173 Agreements for the delivery of infrastructure, development contributions and affordable housing.
- 9. Subject to receiving authorisation from the Minister for Planning, places the amendment on public exhibition in accordance with the requirements of Section 19 of the *Planning and Environment Act 1987*.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

8.6	VICTORIAN AMATEUR TURF CLUB - REMOVAL OF COVENANT		
	Moved: Cr Esakoff Seconded: Cr Parasol		
	That Council		
	1. acting as the registered proprietor of the land in certificate of title volume 8246 folio 866 and as the only third-party beneficiary of the Covenant, consents to the proposal by the Victorian Amateur Turf Club for the consideration of the removal of the restrictive covenant 1118309 to be included as part of the planning scheme amendment being undertaken to rezone parts of the VATC land and to include the Caulfield Structure Plan into the Glen Eira Planning Scheme; and		
	 authorises officers to complete any documentation required to complete the removal of the Covenant including affixing the Common Seal of Council if required. 		
	CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY		

8.7	GLEN EIRA INNOVATE RECONCILIATION ACTION PLAN 2024-2026 - DRAFT PLAN FOR COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT		
	Moved: Cr Zhang Seconded: Cr Karslake		
	That Council approve the draft <i>Glen Eira Innovate Reconciliation Action Plan 2024-2026</i> (Attachment 1) to be shared with the broader Glen Eira community to seek their feedback.		
	CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY		

8.8	FUTURE WASTE, GREENER GLEN EIRA - COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT SUMMARY REPORT	
	Moved: Cr Karslake	Seconded: Cr Zmood
	That Council notes the Future Waste, Greener Glen Eira community engagement summary report (Attachment 1) and uses the community's feedback to inform future planning of our waste services.	
		CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Meeting Extension

Moved: Cr Cade Seconded: Cr Zmood

That the meeting be extended to conclude at 11pm.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

COMMUNITY CONSULTATION: DOG OFF-LEASH ORDER		
Moved: Cr Magee	Seconded: Cr Esakoff	
That Council provides approval for officers to commence the community engagement process.		
	CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY	
-	Moved: Cr Magee That Council provides approval for offi	

8.10	PROPOSED DECLARATION OF CARNEGIE SPECIAL RATE SCHEME - SUBMISSIONS		
	Mo	ved: Cr Esakoff	Seconded: Cr Athanasopoulos
	Tha	t Council:	
	1.	notes and considers the submis	ssions received; and
	2.	to determine whether to declare	ry Council Meeting on Thursday 13 June 2024 e a new special rate for the marketing and en years from Monday 1 July 2024 to 30 June
			CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

8.11	PROPOSED DECLARATION OF ELSTERNWICK SPECIAL RATE SCHEME - SUBMISSIONS		
	Mo	ved: Cr Zmood Seconded: Cr Parasol	
	Tha	t Council:	
	1.	notes and considers the submissions received; and	
	2.	presents the item to the Ordinary Council Meeting on Thursday 13 June 2024 to determine whether to declare a new special rate for the marketing and promotion of the Centre for seven years from Monday 1 July 2024 to 30 June 2031.	
		CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY	

8.12	8.12 QUARTERLY SERVICE PERFORMANCE REPORT (JAN - MAR 2024)	
	Moved: Cr Zhang	Seconded: Cr Esakoff
	That Council notes the Quarterly Service Performance the period ending 31 March 2024.	nance Report (attachment 1) for
		CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

8.13	ARTS AND CULTURE AND SUSTAINABILITY ADVISORY COMMITTEES - EXTENSION OF APPOINTMENT OF COMMUNITY REPRESENTATIVES		
	Moved: Cr Esakoff Seconded: Cr Zmood		
	That Council:		
	 Extends the appointments of the following as Community Representatives to Council's Sustainability Advisory Committee until 26 October 2024: 		
	 a) Rochelle Lake b) Helen Fischer c) Christopher Gatto d) Julia Vogrig e) Bruce McDonald f) Gina Rosenberg g) Peter Petros; and 		
	 Extends the appointments of the following as Community Representatives to Council's Arts and Culture Advisory Committee until 26 October 2024: 		
	a) Jytte Holmqvist b) Kathleen Plastow c) Louis Balis CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY		

8.14	2023.85 PROVISION OF TELECOMMUNICATION SERVICES VARIATION		
	Mo	ved: Cr Zmood Seconded: Cr Magee	
	Tha	at Council:	
	1.	approves the contract variation to Council Contract 2023.85 Provision of Telecommunication Services to appoint Telstra Limited, ABN 64 086 174 781 to provide SIEM solution/services under the Victorian Telecommunication Services Contract 2023.85 for Glen Eira Telecommunication Services: Data and Internet category, at an additional cost of \$681,759.21 (including GST) for a period of 36 months;	
	2.	delegates to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) approval and execution of Victorian Telecommunications Services contracts on Council's behalf for the term of this State Government contract; and	
	3.	authorises the CEO to finalise, negotiate, and execute contacts under the Victorian Telecommunication Services for the term of this contract.	
		CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY	

9. URGENT BUSINESS

Nil

10. ORDINARY BUSINESS

10.1 Requests for reports from a member of Council staff

Nil

10.2 Right of reply

Nil

10.3 Notice of Motion

Nil

10.4 Councillor questions

Nil

10.5 Written public questions to Council

Written public questions were heard earlier tonight.

11. CONFIDENTIAL ITEMS

Moved: Cr Magee Seconded: Cr Zmood

That pursuant to Section 66(1) and 66(2)(a) of the Local Government Act 2020, the Council resolves that so much of this meeting be closed to members of the public, as is required for Council to consider the following matters that are confidential in accordance with Section 3(1) of the Act:

11.1 Extension of Contract 2014.021 Kerbside Collection Services and Contract 2014.034 Hard Rubbish, Bulk Cardboard and Dumped Rubbish Collection Services

This agenda item is confidential information for the purposes of section 3(1) of the Local Government Act 2020:

- because it is private commercial information, being information provided by a business, commercial or financial undertaking that -
 - (i) relates to trade secrets; or
 - (ii) if released, would unreasonably expose the business, commercial or financial undertaking to disadvantage (section 3(1)(g)); and
- commercial in confidence information and details about current and future procurement. The release of detailed existing contract values would negatively impact the current Future Waste procurement process.

11.2 Clayton South Regional Landfill Potential Transfer of Interests

This agenda item is confidential information for the purposes of section 3(1) of the Local Government Act 2020:

- because it is legal privileged information, being information to which legal professional privilege or client legal privilege applies (section 3(1)(e)), and private commercial information, being information provided by a business, commercial or financial undertaking that -
 - (i) relates to trade secrets; or
 - (ii) if released, would unreasonably expose the business, commercial or financial undertaking to disadvantage (section 3(1)(g)); and
- Information is confidential as legal negotiations are current ongoing

11.3 Extension of Contract 2015.025 Provision of Street Cleaning Services

This agenda item is confidential information for the purposes of section 3(1) of the Local Government Act 2020:

- because it is private commercial information, being information provided by a business, commercial or financial undertaking that -
 - (i) relates to trade secrets; or
 - (ii) if released, would unreasonably expose the business, commercial or financial undertaking to disadvantage (section 3(1)(g)); and
- commercial in confidence information and details about current and future procurement. The release of detailed existing contract values would negatively impact the current Future Waste procurement process.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Public gallery was closed and livestreaming ceased.

12. CLOSURE OF MEETING

The meeting closed at 10.01pm.