

GLEN EIRA CITY COUNCIL

ORDINARY COUNCIL MEETING

TUESDAY 6 FEBRUARY 2024

MINUTES

Meeting was held in the Council Chambers, Corner Hawthorn & Glen Eira Roads, Caulfield at 7.30 pm

Present

The Mayor, Councillor Anne-Marie Cade Councillor Tony Athanasopoulos Councillor Margaret Esakoff Councillor Jane Karslake Councillor Jim Magee Councillor Sam Parasol (online) Councillor Sue Pennicuik (online) Councillor Li Zhang Councillor Simone Zmood (online)

INDEX

1.	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT4		
2.	APOLOGIES4		
3.	REMINDER TO DECLARE ANY CONFLICTS OF INTEREST IN ANY ITEMS ON THE AGENDA4		
4.	CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS COUNCIL MEETINGS		
5.	RECEPTION AND READING OF PETITIONS AND JOINT LETTERS11		
6.	REPORTS BY DELEGATES APPOINTED BY COUNCIL TO VARIOUS ORGANISATIONS		
7.	REPORTS FROM COMMITTEES AND RECORDS OF ASSEMBLY		
	7.1 Advisory Committees11		
	7.1.1 Advisory Committee Minutes11		
	7.2 Records of Assembly12		
	7.2.1 Records of Assemblies of Councillors		
8.	OFFICER REPORTS (AS LISTED)13		
	 8.1 Swearing In of Cr Jane Karslake		
9.	URGENT BUSINESS		

10.	ORDINARY BUSINESS	19
	10.1 Requests for reports from a member of Council staff	19
	10.2 Right of reply	19
	10.3 Notice of Motion	
	10.4 Councillor questions	
	10.5 Written public questions to Council	
11.	CONFIDENTIAL ITEMS	20
	Nil	
12.	CLOSURE OF MEETING	20



1. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The Mayor read the acknowledgement.

Glen Eira City Council Acknowledges the peoples of the Kulin Nation as Traditional Owners and Custodians, and pay our respect to their Elders past, present and emerging. We Acknowledge and uphold their continuing relationship to land and waterways. Council extends its respect to all Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples.

2. APOLOGIES

NIL

3. REMINDER TO DECLARE ANY CONFLICTS OF INTEREST IN ANY ITEMS ON THE AGENDA

Councillors were reminded of the requirement for disclosure of conflicts of interest in relation to items listed for consideration on the Agenda, or any item that was considered at this meeting, in accordance with Section 130(2) of the *Local Government Act 2020* and Rule 60(3) of the Glen Eira City Council Governance Rules. Councillors were then invited to indicate any such conflict of interest.

 Cr Jane Karslake declared a material conflict of interest in Item Number 8.3 – Queens Avenue Cycling Corridor – Glen Huntly Level Crossing Removal Project due their residing in close proximity to the street and relationships with residents impacted.

Procedural Motion

Moved: Cr Cade

Seconded: Cr Magee

That Council:

- 1) Adjourns for 10 minutes, if technical problem is encountered during the meeting which prevents Council from maintaining a quorum or livestreaming; and
- 2) If the meeting cannot be resumed within 30 minutes, the meeting will be adjourned, to be reconvened on Wednesday 7 February 2024 at 7.30pm.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Public Participation

This evening we have two submitters request to speak to Council during Public Participation. Both speakers presented to Council.

Procedural Motion

Moved: Cr Cade

Seconded: Cr Magee

That Council changes the order of business to bring item 10.5 – Written Public Questions to Council forward to be heard at this stage of the meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

10.5 Written Public Questions to Council

This evening we had 12 Written Public Questions to Council of which all were able to be read out and responded to within the allocated timeframe. These are provided below:

	Name	Question
	Suburb	
1	Dan Blackman	Regarding the closure of the ELC's on the 28th March 2024, my questions are:
		 Can council advise of the total forecasted cost to ratepayers to close the ELCs, including staff entitlements, remediation costs and any administrative costs? Is there a vision for what council will be using the former ELC properties for into the future?
		Answer
		All administrative costs associated with the closure of the ELCs are accounted for in the endorsed Budget for 2023/24.
		Staff redundancies are estimated to be \$1.25M. In addition to these costs, annual leave and long service leave entitlements are approximately \$550K, which have been accounted for in employee provisions.
		Any remediation costs associated with the facilities are unknown because Council has not made a decision about the future of the Early Learning Centre sites or facilities.
		Council will consider the future use of the ELC sites or facilities in accordance with Council's Property Strategy. This may include repurposing, leasing or disposal. An assessment against Council's Property Strategy will be undertaken separately to this process, and reported to Council in 2024.
2	Ashlea Diamond, McKinnon	On January 15, I witnessed total waste solutions empty recycling and general waste bins into one truck at Allnutt Park. The men seemed very familiar and confident. Are Council aware that total waste solutions are not recycling products from designated bins? Is it stipulated in their contract that recycling is to be recycled?
		Answer
		I understand your concerns about ensuring waste and recycling bins

	Name Suburb	Question
	300010	are managed correctly.
		There have been many changes to the recycling industry in Victoria and worldwide, low grade waste imports are no longer accepted.
		As a result, Councils must work to ensure that we introduce as little contamination to recycling facilities as possible. If there is a high level of contamination in the recycling bin (even over 10%), this material cannot be sorted, and the contents of the entire recycling bin may be sent to landfill.
		Unfortunately, audits by Council, and those of other municipalities, have found contaminated recycling bins are more likely to be found in parks than at residential properties. Therefore, Council asks drivers emptying general waste litter bins to check the contamination levels of recycling bins, and move it to general waste if necessary. To ensure uncontaminated recycling doesn't go to landfill, Council's litter bin contractor is required to provide evidence of contamination on request.
		That said, our Circular Economy team will continue to audit the contractor's records to ensure the driver emptying bins at Allnutt Park, is correctly recording incidences of contamination within recycling bins. The team will continue to monitor the situation and values your feedback.
		Council will also introduce better education alongside our public bins. This will include additional recycling bin signage, which is planned for installation on park bins with high contamination. This aims to reduce the amount of non-recyclable items in public bins to improve recycling outcomes.
3	Gerald Sherry, Caulfield East	Special consideration needs to be given to the two obvious hazardous points at the northern end of the Queens Avenue bicycle path.
		The first is where the bicycle path is scheduled to finish at the corner of Normanby Road and Queens Avenue. This is a busy and complicated intersection for pedestrians, cyclists and drivers to navigate.
		The second is the Racecourse Gate 19, accessible from Queens Avenue. Vehicles will be entering and exiting Queens Avenue constantly as this is one of the two major entrances to the proposed Glasshouse venue and public parking area.
		Vehicles using this gate will have to navigate across busy traffic lanes as well as a footpath and a dedicated bicycle path. This poses a major safety problem for pedestrians, cyclists and drivers.
		Could the Council provide information as to what are the plans to

	Name Suburb	Question
	Suburb	minimise the danger to pedestrians, cyclists and motorists at these two critical locations?
		Answer
		There are a range of design considerations that will need to be taken into account in any final design. The Level Crossing Removal Project is responsible for the design and delivery of this project, and it is our expectation that any design will meet all road safety requirements and standards.
4	Gerald Sherry, Caulfield East	In relation to the proposed bicycle path along Queens Avenue, there seems to be little consideration or discussion on the exact location of the bicycle path at the northern end of Queens Avenue, especially between Epsom Street and the Normanby Road/ Queens Avenue intersection.
		What seems to be absent is the lack of information concerning the exact location of the bicycle path between the Racecourse entrance/exit gate 19 on Queens Avenue and the intersection with Normanby Road.
		There is an obvious safety threat to pedestrians, cyclists and motorists using this section of Queens Avenue.
		Please note that this potentially dangerous situation applies regardless of whether Option 1 or Option 2 is chosen.
		Under either option, could the Council please inform us as to what is the proposed location of the bicycle path between Epsom Street and Normanby Road?
		Answer
		The location of option 1, shared user path, would be on the nature strip on the western side of Queens Avenue.
		Option 2 would be on the road, on the western kerb side.
		There are a range of design factors that will need to be taken into account in any final design.
		The Level Crossing Removal Project is responsible for the design and delivery of this project and we expect that any design will meet all road safety requirements and standards.

	Name	Question
5	Suburb Hayden Lavigne, Carnegie	Regarding Item 8.3: Could Council clarify how it came to the conclusion to support option 3, and how this responds to our Integrated Transport Strategy and Climate Emergency Response Strategy?
		Answer
		Council is very supportive of the State Government delivering an improved cycling connection between Glen Huntly and Caulfield Stations, and we agree that this would be consistent with our Integrated Transport Strategy.
		Community feedback has strongly indicated that neither option 1 or 2, as currently proposed, has strong support and we therefore require further compromises and adjustments to the current proposed design.
		If Council resolves to support Option 3, Council will advocate to the Level Crossing Removal Project and State Government to either improve what is proposed under options 1 or 2 to ensure that the design minimises the impact to trees and/or parking or alternatively, asks that they reconsider and consult on other options previously discounted, such as routing via Leamington or Derby Crescent.
6	Tamara de Silva, Caulfield East	If council votes for neither option 1 or option 2 on proposed Queens Avenue Bike path, we need the Mayor and CEO to pick up the phone and request a high level meeting with LXRP to re-examine alternatives including Learnington, Derby, combo of both, elevated bike track using FRP panels or permeable surface and extending up to 1 metre on Queens with 40 km speed limit or original option 2 with 40 km speed limit and street parking removed just at pinch points. Will the Mayor and CEO promise to do this and to actively advocate on behalf of Queens Avenue residents and community?
		Answer
		Council remains open to continuing to work closely with the Level Crossing Removal Project and State Government, to find a workable solution to deliver a strategic cycling connection between Glen Huntly and Caulfield Stations. Ongoing liaison has continued between Glen Eira's leadership team and the Level Crossing Removal Project.
7	Tamara de Silva, Caulfield East	Residents are frustrated at being the meat in the sandwich between LXRP and Glen Eira City Council. Time Council stopped blaming the LXRP and worked constructively to achieve a solution that meets community expectations. Will council agree to this?
		Answer
		The delivery of any infrastructure, including safe cycling infrastructure directly impacts the residents involved including the wider community. It is best practice to engage or consult those impacted.
		Despite the limitations of our role with this project, Council has always advocated for the community to be engaged as part of the Level

	Name Suburb	Question
		Crossing Removal Project works. This was done for residents in other neighbouring streets who were impacted by the works.
8	Diluni de Silva, Caulfield North	Two representatives from QueenSAVEtrees group should be included in any future meetings with LXRP as residents of Queens Avenue and surrounding streets, they are a key stakeholder. Will council advocate for this?
		Answer
		Council will ask the State Government to commit to community engagement if they choose to explore any further options.
		This would involve consulting key stakeholder groups.
9	Diluni de Silva, Caulfield	In Council Agenda 8.3 for Queens Avenue, there are claims made with no scientific basis. Example "It should be noted that actively growing trees sequester more carbon the mature trees."
	North	Council should not engage in misleading and incorrect information.
		Will Council please correct the record?
		Answer
		The report for Agenda Item 8.3 was prepared in very short timeframes and notes this is a very complex area of climate science. Council acknowledges that the loss of 200 trees plus will involve the loss of a significant amount of carbon storage.
		We will be more than happy to have a follow up conversation with you regarding your concerns if it would be helpful.
10	Nicky Willis	I was distressed to discover that council had been meeting monthly with the LXRP, and last year quietly agreed to level the mature urban forest along Queens Ave. And that it was council who required Option 2 be altered to remove all parking. Council clearly shares responsibility for these 2 options and has had more influence than they are currently suggesting. Why are council not assertively advocating for the community and our environment?
		Answer
		Council has advocated over the past few years for numerous alternate options to deliver the State Government's commitment to upgrade cycling connections between Glen Huntly and Caulfield Stations.
		The Level Crossing Removal Project determined that there were only two of the options considered that were feasible for them to construct. These are the two options that have now been consulted with the community.
		As was explained in detail at the Stakeholder forum that you attended, because of constraints with the width of Queens Avenue,

	Name	Question
	Suburb	Option 2 could only meet minimum safety standards if parking was removed.
		Understandably, Council would only agree to consult on an option that did not present unreasonable risk for members of our community.
11	Nicky Willis	Council proposed an alternate option for Queens Ave, moving the fence closer to the racetrack to install an SUP. Council said most mature trees would be retained with this option.
		After checking the measurements, it is clear this alternate option would have levelled this mature urban forest. Why did council indicate their preferred option would save most of the trees along Queens Ave when this is not the case?
		Answer
		Council's preferred concept for the path along Queens Avenue was a concept only and was completed a couple of years ago, when the information around the Level Crossing Removal Project's construction methodology wasn't known.
		If Council's preferred concept was to go ahead, further detailed design would be required.
		Detailed design would consider whether any or all of: shifting the landscaped area between the path and the kerb; shifting the proposed path closer to the kerb; narrowing the path to 2.5m; and/or considering if a permeable pavement surface could assist in tree retention.
12	Robert Horwood, Caulfield East	Some residents of Queens Ave, the wider Caulfield East area and neighbouring suburbs are still perplexed as to why Leamington and Derby Avenues have not been considered for a bike path only option.
		We understand that there are come constraints and have been told this many times, but we believe that, with creative design, these options are viable and would be the most sensible and straightforward routes for a bike path. Often, when looking at a map an area or when driving in a car a different perception of the situation can be experienced.
		I would like to know if the Glen Eira Councillors have walked or ridden through Queens Avenue, Derby Crescent or Leamington Crescent before this meeting to help to inform the decision they will be making this evening?
		Answer
		The question regarding why Leamington and Derby Crescents have not been considered as a bike only option is probably best asked of the Level Crossing Removal Project. At this time, they have only assessed the 2 options under consideration, as viable options for

Name	Question
Suburb	
	delivering on the State Government's commitment.
	Tonight Council will decide whether to support one of those two options as tabled, or to reject both options and request that further consideration is given to alternatives (i.e. option 3).
	If Council resolves to support option 3, we will ask them to re- consider both Learnington and Derby Crescents, as well as consider other possible amendments to the proposed options 1 and 2, to take into consideration community and stakeholder feedback gathered through the consultation process.

4. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS COUNCIL MEETINGS

Moved: Cr Mage	e Seconded: Cr Esakoff
That the minutes	of the Ordinary Council Meeting held on 19 December 2023 be confirmed.
For the Motion:	Crs Athanasopoulos, Esakoff, Cade, Parasol, Zmood, Pennicuik, Magee and Zhang (8)
Abstained:	Cr Karslake (1)
	CARRIED

5. RECEPTION AND READING OF PETITIONS AND JOINT LETTERS

Nil

6. REPORTS BY DELEGATES APPOINTED BY COUNCIL TO VARIOUS ORGANISATIONS

Nil

7. REPORTS FROM COMMITTEES AND RECORDS OF ASSEMBLY

7.1 Advisory Committees

7.1.1	ADVISORY COMMITTEE MINUTES	
	Moved: Cr Esakoff	Seconded: Cr Magee
	That the minutes of the Advisory Committee meetin and noted.	ngs as shown below be received
	1. Local Laws Advisory Committee – 5 Dece	ember 2023; and

2.	Glen Eira Sustainability Advisory Committee – 20 December 2023.
	CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

7.2 Records of Assembly

7.2.1	RECORDS OF ASSEMBLIES OF COUNCILLORS			
	Moved: Cr Esakoff	Seconded: Cr Zhang		
	That the Records of Assemblies as shown below be received and noted.			
	1. 5 December 2023	Assembly;		
	2. 12 December 2023	Assembly; and		
	3. 19 December 2023	Pre-meeting.		
		CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY		

8. OFFICER REPORTS (AS LISTED)

8.1 SWEARING IN OF CR JANE KARSLAKE

Moved: Cr Cade

That Council notes:

- 1. the formal declaration by the Victorian Electoral Commission;
- 2. that Cr Jane Karslake took the Affirmation of Office (attached) and agreed to abide by the Councillor Code of Conduct at the swearing in ceremony before Council's Chief Executive Officer on Thursday 11 January 2024; and
- 3. the Affirmation of Office took place outside of an Ordinary Council Meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Seconded: Cr Esakoff

Extension of Speaking Time

Moved: Cr Athanasopoulos

Seconded: Cr Zhang

That Cr Karslake be granted a five minute extension of speaking time.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

8.2	ADVISORY COMMITTEE UPDATES		
	Мо	ved: Cr Esakoff	Seconded: Cr Parasol
	Tha	t Council:	
	1.	appoints Councillors to the Council Advis Committees and Other Committees as s 'Issues and Discussions' section of this r 2023/24 Council term; and	hown in the table listed under the
	2.	adopts the updated Terms of Reference Economy Advisory Committee (Attachm	
	3.	adopts the updated Terms of Reference Awards Advisory Committee (Attachmer	2
			CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

8.3	QUEENS AVENUE CYCLING CORRIDOR - GLEN HUNTLY LEVEL CROSSING REMOVAL PROJECT
	Cr Jane Karslake declared a material conflict of interest in Item Number 8.3 – Queens Avenue Cycling Corridor – Glen Huntly Level Crossing Removal Project due their residing in close proximity to the street and relationships with residents impacted. It is further recorded Cr Karslake was not present for the discussion on this item.
	It is recorded that Cr Karslake vacated the Chamber at 8:34pm.
	Moved: Cr Zmood Seconded: Cr Magee
	That Council:
	1. resolves to not support either of the two options proposed by the LXRP; and
	 writes to the LXRP and the Hon Danny Pearson MP Minister for Transport Infrastructure and advocates to re-consider options and request that LXRP works with Council and the community to achieve the best possible outcome that balances safe cycling, residential amenity and retention of trees at this location.
	CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Extension of Speaking Time

Seconded: Cr Zmood

That Cr Magee be granted a three minute extension of speaking time.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Extension of Speaking Time

Moved: Cr Zmood

Moved: Cr Zhang

Seconded: Cr Zhang

That Cr Pennicuik be granted a two minute extension of speaking time.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Extension of Speaking Time

Moved: Cr Zhang

That Cr Athanasopoulos be granted a two minute extension of speaking time.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Seconded: Cr Zmood

It is recorded that Cr Karslake entered the Chamber at 9:05pm.

8.4	FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT REPORT (31 DECEMBER 2023)	
	Moved: Cr Magee	Seconded: Cr Zmood
	That Council notes the Financial Manageme December 2023.	ent Report for the period ending 31
		CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

It is recorded that Cr Athanasopoulos vacated the Chamber at 9:07pm.

It is recorded that Cr Athanasopoulos entered the Chamber at 9:09pm.

8.5	HOUSING STRATEGY AMENDMENT - REQUEST FOR AUTHORISATION C PROPOSED PLANNING CONTROLS			
	Мо	ved: Cr E	sakoff	Seconded: Cr Zmood
	That Council:		:	
	1.	clause: 02.03- 02.03-3 (Trans	s 02.01-1 (Our City 1 (Settlement and a 3 (Built environmen port), 02.03-7 (Pub	nendments to the Municipal Planning Strategy at), 02.01-2 (Our community), 02.01-3 (Growth), ctivity centres), 02.03-2 (Environment and amenity), t and heritage), 02.03-4 (Housing), 02.03-6 ic open space, community facilities and I (Strategic framework plan) (Attachment 1).
	2.		es the introduction ment 1).	of Clause 02.04-3 (Housing framework plan)
	3.	 endorses the proposed amendments to the Planning Policy Framework at clauses 15.01-1L (Landscaping), 15.01-5L (Neighbourhood character), 16.0 1L-01 (Housing supply) and 16.01-5L (Residential aged care and communi care accommodation) (Attachments 2 and 3). 		aping), 15.01-5L (Neighbourhood character), 16.01- nd 16.01-5L (Residential aged care and community
	4.	endorses the proposed amendments to the Planning Policy Framework at clause 15.01-2L-01 (Building Design) (Attachment 2) with the following changes;		
		a) r	etain the following	wo strategies
		i)		ooking and privacy through considered design and her than screening
		ii	incorporated in	rvice infrastructure is appropriately sited and nto the design of new buildings and has limited he public realm
	5.	endorses the proposed amendments to Schedule 1 to Clause 32.07 (Residential Growth Zone) and Schedule 1 to Clause 32.09 (Neighbourhood Residential Zone) (Attachments 4 and 6).		
	6.	endors	es Schedule 1 to C	lause 32.08 (General Residential Zone)

	· ·	nent 5) with the following changes; lete "none specified" for standard A10 and B17 variation and rep
	,	th the following
	i)	First storey (ground or basement) rear setback – 4 metres fr property in the Neighbourhood Residential Zone
	ii)	Second storey rear setback – 5.5 metres from a property in t Neighbourhood Residential Zone.
	iii)	Third storey rear setback- 11.5 metres from a property in the Neighbourhood Residential Zone.
7.		s the introduction of Schedules 2, 3 and 4 to Clause 32.09 ourhood Residential Zone) (Attachments 7,8 and 9).
8.	Zone) a	s the deletion of Schedule 2 to Clause 32.07 (Residential Growt nd Schedules 2, 3 and 4 to Clause 32.08 (General Residential Z nent 10).
9.		s the deletion of Schedule 5 to Clause 43.02 (Design and ment Overlay) (Attachment 11).
10.	endorses the proposed amendments to the Schedule to Clause 72.08 (Background documents) including the introduction of the Glen Eira Housi Strategy (Glen Eira City Council, 2022) and the Neighbourhood Character Assessment & Future Character Framework - Volume 2: Future Character and Built Form Precincts (Tract, 2022) as background documents (Attachment 12).	
11.	(Applica	s the proposed amendments to the Schedule to Clauses 74.01 tion of zones, overlays and provisions) and 74.02 (Further strate ttachments 13 and 14).
12.		s the proposed rezoning of land in accordance with the Propose tial Zones map (Attachment 15).
13.	scheme	uthorisation from the Minister for Planning to prepare a planning amendment in accordance with the <i>Planning and Environment</i> implement the <i>Glen Eira Housing Strategy</i> .
14.	amendn Departn	es the Manager City Futures to undertake minor changes to the nent, including changes requested by the Minister for Planning o nent of Transport and Planning to receive authorisation, where s do not affect the purpose or intent of the amendment.
15.	and Env	the planning scheme amendment in accordance with the <i>Planni</i> <i>ironment Act 1987</i> should authorisation be granted by the Minis g to prepare the amendment.
For t	he Motior	n: Crs Athanasopoulos, Esakoff, Cade, Parasol, Zmood, Pennicuik and Karslake (7)
Agai	nst the M	otion: Crs Magee and Zhang (2)
		CAF

Extension of Speaking Time

Moved: Cr Magee

That Cr Athanasopoulos be granted a two minute extension of speaking time.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Seconded: Cr Zmood

Extension of Speaking Time

Moved: Cr Zmood

That Cr Pennicuik be granted a three minute extension of speaking time.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Seconded: Cr Magee

Meeting Extension

Moved: Cr Cade

That the meeting be extended to conclude at 11pm.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Seconded: Cr Magee

8.6	RECONCILIATION ACTION PLAN 2024-2026 INNOVATE - COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT	
	Moved: Cr Karslake	Seconded: Cr Athanasopoulos
		nity engagement plan to inform the development 024-2026 Innovate, with the first phase of by 8 February 2024.
		CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

8.7	SOCIAL AND AFFORDABLE HOUSING ADVOCACY TO STATE GOVERNMENT				
	Moved: Cr Karsl	ake	Seconded: Cr Zmood		
	That Council:	That Council:			
	public sale, in	cluded in Confidential Attac	mment 'hold back' three properties from chment 1, and make them available housing provider for social housing;		
 approaches the State Government to enter into discussions about housing opportunities for the fourth property included in Confident 1; 					
	3. resolves that the attachment to the report remain confidential in accordance with section 3(1)(a), section 3(1)(c) and section 66(5) of the <i>Local Government Act</i> 2020 or until Council resolves otherwise; and				
			ny confidential information in relation to y to give effect to the resolution.		
	For the Motion:	Crs Athanasopoulos, M Pennicuik and Karslake	agee, Cade, Parasol, Zhang, Zmood, (8)		
	Against the Motio	n: Cr Esakoff (1)			
			CARRIED		

Extension of Speaking Time			
Moved: Cr Zmood	Seconded: Cr Magee		
That Cr Pennicuik be granted a one minute extension of speaking time.			
	CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY		

It is recorded that Cr Zhang vacated the Chamber at 9:52pm. It is recorded that Cr Zhang entered the Chamber at 9:55pm.

.8 FUTURE WASTE SERVICES COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT APPROACH			
Mo	loved: Cr Zmood Seconded: Cr Zhang		
	That Council endorses an 'Involve' level community engagement program to inform its future waste services contracts in 2024.		
	CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY		

8.9	ANTENNAE ON COUNCIL FACILITIES POLICY	
	Moved: Cr Esakoff	Seconded: Cr Athanasopoulos
	That Council revoke the Antennae	on Council Facilities Policy.
		CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

8.10	PROVISION OF SPORTS GROUND LIGHTING POLICY UPDATE		
	Moved: Cr Magee	Seconded: Cr Athanasopoulos	
	That Council endorses the updated Provision of Sports Ground Lighting (Attachment 1).		
		CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY	

It is recorded that Cr Karslake vacated the Chamber at 10:24pm. It is recorded that Cr Karslake entered the Chamber at 10:26pm.

Extension of Speaking Time Moved: Cr Zmood Seconded: Cr Zhang That Cr Magee be granted a three minute extension of speaking time. CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

9. URGENT BUSINESS

10. ORDINARY BUSINESS

- 10.1 Requests for reports from a member of Council staff
- Nil
- 10.2 Right of reply

Nil

10.3 Notice of Motion

Nil

10.4 Councillor questions

Nil

10.5 Written public questions to Council

Heard at an earlier stage of the meeting

11. CONFIDENTIAL ITEMS

Nil

12. CLOSURE OF MEETING

The meeting closed at 10.33pm.

Confirmed this 27 February 2024

Chairperson.....